

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 08/836,455	Applicant(s) CHATTERJEE ET AL.	
	Examiner Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1643	

All Participants:

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(2) Jill Jacobson, Ph.D.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2005

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Dr. Jacobson to explain that the continuity data recited in the specification would have to be amended such that the relationship between the PCT application and the earlier filed U.S. patent application is specified. Dr. Jacobson informed the Examiner that the PCT application is a continuation-in-part of the earlier filed U.S. patent application and authorized an examiner's amendment by which the continuity data will be amended to specify this relationship..